Proceedings of the 29th Association of Public Authority Surveyors Conference (APAS2026)
Bowral, New South Wales, Australia, 16-18 March 2026

The AI Shift: Clarity, Risks and Real-World Wins

Cathy Moses
Consulting Surveyors NSW
cathy@consultingsurveyors.com.au

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) isn't arriving – it's here. The online world now shapes almost every decision we make, and since 2024 the acceleration of accessible AI tools has opened a new frontier for how we work, learn and lead. Like electricity, the bicycle and the automobile, transformative technology often meets suspicion and fear. This paper traces AI's journey – from early breakthroughs to today's practical assistants – and asks a grounded question: How do we, as surveying and geospatial professionals, use it wisely? It cuts through the hype to cover what AI does well (and poorly), why data quality matters, and how to spot the red flags. It also tackles the elephants in the room: cybersecurity, confidentiality and the anxiety that "AI will replace humans". Rather than replacement, we explore augmentation – how AI can reduce drudge work, enhance quality and free people to focus on judgement, ethics and client value.

KEYWORDS: *Artificial Intelligence, cybersecurity, data quality, ethics, risk management, augmentation*

1 INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is no longer a future concept reserved for technology circles. It is already built into search engines, document tools, mapping platforms and the productivity software many of us use every day. For professionals across all sectors, that matters because AI outputs can influence real-world decisions, compliance and public trust. The purpose of this paper is to provide a grounded overview of practical AI use in the workplace, and to outline how benefits can be captured while professional risks are kept under control.

The discussion focuses on what AI is, how it is currently being used, and why verification and information handling remain critical. Particular attention is given to the risks of fabricated outputs, bias, over-reliance and confidentiality breaches. A simple governance approach is also outlined so that adoption can be managed without unnecessary complexity.

2 WHAT AI IS (AND IS NOT)

Artificial intelligence is a broad set of methods that learn patterns from data to produce predictions, classifications or generated outputs. In day-to-day office use, the most visible category is generative AI, which produces text, images or code based on statistical patterns in training data and the prompt provided. It does not reason or hold intent in the human sense. Therefore, it can produce outputs that sound plausible but are not correct.

This distinction is important. AI can be a fast drafting and pattern-finding assistant, but it is not a source of truth, and it does not carry professional responsibility. Any output that influences

decisions, compliance, safety, boundaries or client outcomes must be verified against authoritative sources and professional judgement.

3 PRACTICAL USE

AI can deliver real value when used for the right tasks. In practice, workplace use commonly falls into four categories: creating (drafting and rewriting), analysing (summarising structured information), automating (repeatable reporting and templated outputs) and assisting (scenario thinking and prompting what to check next). These categories help teams adopt AI in a controlled way, starting with lower-risk tasks and expanding as governance and confidence mature.

Common examples include conversational assistants used for drafting and summarising (e.g. ChatGPT) and specialised tools for media generation (e.g. HeyGen). As with any software, the primary consideration is not novelty, but whether the tool is appropriate for the task and can be used safely within organisational controls (OpenAI, 2026; HeyGen, 2026).

In the ‘create’ category, AI is useful for first drafts of emails, reports, meeting agendas and stakeholder updates, as well as converting technical language into clearer client communication. This can reduce time spent on formatting and phrasing, allowing more attention to be given to judgement, accuracy and the decisions behind the words.

In the ‘analyse’ category, AI can summarise patterns in structured datasets and explain what the information might suggest. However, it does not fix poor inputs. If the underlying data is incomplete, biased or incorrect, AI can produce a polished narrative around unreliable information. For this reason, AI should be used as a hypothesis generator rather than an authority, particularly where outputs are high consequence.

The ‘automate’ category is where many organisations see some of the biggest gains. Routine reporting, templated summaries and repeatable documentation tasks can be produced consistently, provided verification steps are maintained and outputs are treated as drafts and reviewed.

The ‘assist’ category is often underestimated. AI can help think through risks, assumptions and options by prompting alternative scenarios and checklists. This can strengthen decision-making without shifting responsibility away from the professional.

4 RISKS

Alongside these benefits, four risks require explicit management: fabricated outputs (hallucinations), over-reliance, bias amplification and confidentiality breaches. Recent reporting on NeurIPS (a major AI conference) has drawn attention to cases where AI-generated, false citations made their way into accepted conference papers (Bort, 2026; Goldman, 2026). For professional work, this underlines the importance of clear verification standards and checking sources for accuracy, not simply referencing them.

Bias is another well-documented issue, particularly where systems learn from historical patterns that may reflect existing inequities. Public reporting on Amazon’s experimental recruiting tool

illustrates how biased training data can lead to biased outcomes (Dastin, 2018). Across workplaces, bias can show up subtly through the framing of advice, the options prioritised or recommendations that seem sensible on the surface but are neither equitable nor defensible when scrutinised.

Confidentiality and cybersecurity risks are also significant. AI systems and AI-enabled applications can become new attack surfaces, and organisations report gaps in basic access controls for AI models and applications (IBM, 2025). Separately, the rapid growth of consumer AI apps has been associated with data exposure incidents, such as reports of large volumes of private chatbot conversations being exposed due to configuration weaknesses (Knutsson, 2026). These risks are not the result of AI existing, but of unmanaged use and weak controls.

5 GOVERNANCE

When it comes to governance, it is important to consider how AI might affect the trustworthiness of public service delivery (Australian Government, 2023). A practical way to manage AI adoption is to apply recognised risk management principles. In Australia, this is reflected in Commonwealth guidance such as the national framework for the assurance of artificial intelligence in government (Australian Government, 2024) and the policy for the responsible use of AI in government (Australian Government, 2025), which emphasise impact-based decision-making, clear accountability and fit-for-purpose safeguards. Internationally, the artificial intelligence risk management framework published by the U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) provides a widely used, scalable approach that can also be adapted for professional practice (NIST, 2023). In simple terms, governance should define which tools are approved, what data is prohibited, which use cases require escalation, what verification is required, and who is accountable for outcomes.

At an operational level, several controls can be implemented immediately: avoiding the use of public AI tools for confidential or identifying information, de-identifying content where possible, using privacy settings (e.g. disabling data sharing for model improvement where available), and using temporary sessions for sensitive drafting. Most importantly, teams should adopt a verification standard: AI outputs remain drafts until checked against authoritative sources and professional judgement.

4 CONCLUDING REMARKS

AI isn't arriving – it's here. The online world now shapes almost every decision we make, and since 2024 the acceleration of accessible AI tools has opened a new frontier for how we work, learn and lead. Like electricity, the bicycle and the automobile, transformative technology often meets suspicion and fear.

This paper has briefly traced AI's journey from early breakthroughs to today's practical assistants. Focussing on practical use, risks and governance, it has asked a grounded question: How do we, as surveying and geospatial professionals, use it wisely? AI can deliver real productivity and quality gains when applied to suitable tasks and used within defined boundaries. It is most effective when treated as augmentation, i.e. reducing repetitive effort and supporting communication and analysis, while professional judgement and accountability remain with the practitioner. The adoption of clear verification practices, safe information

handling and practical governance enables benefits to be realised without compromising confidentiality, accuracy or trust.

REFERENCES

Australian Government (2023) Australian Government long-term insights briefings: How might artificial intelligence affect the trustworthiness of public service delivery? https://www.pmc.gov.au/resources/long-term-insights-briefings/how-might-ai-affect-trust-public-service-delivery (accessed Mar 2026).

Australian Government (2024) National framework for the assurance of artificial intelligence in government, https://www.finance.gov.au/government/public-data/data-and-digital-ministers-meeting/national-framework-assurance-artificial-intelligence-government (accessed Mar 2026).

Australian Government (2025) Policy for the responsible use of AI in government, version 2.0, https://www.digital.gov.au/ai/ai-in-government-policy (accessed Mar 2026).

Bort J. (2026) Irony alert: Hallucinated citations found in papers from NeurIPS, the prestigious AI conference, TechCrunch, https://techcrunch.com/2026/01/21/irony-alert-hallucinated-citations-found-in-papers-from-neurips-the-prestigious-ai-conference/ (accessed Mar 2026).

Dastin J. (2018) Insight – Amazon scraps secret AI recruiting tool that showed bias against women, Reuters, https://www.reuters.com/article/world/insight-amazon-scraps-secret-ai-recruiting-tool-that-showed-bias-against-women-idUSKCN1MK0AG/ (accessed Mar 2026).

Goldman S. (2026) NeurIPS, one of the world's top academic AI conferences, accepted research papers with 100+ AI-hallucinated citations, new report claims, Fortune, https://fortune.com/2026/01/21/neurips-ai-conferences-research-papers-hallucinations/ (accessed Mar 2026).

HeyGen (2026) AI video generation platform, https://www.heygen.com/ (accessed Mar 2026).

IBM (2025) IBM report: 13% of organisations reported breaches of AI models or applications, 97% of which reported lacking proper AI access controls, https://newsroom.ibm.com/2025-07-30-ibm-report-13-of-organizations-reported-breaches-of-ai-models-or-applications,-97-of-which-reported-lacking-proper-ai-access-controls (accessed Mar 2026).

Knutsson K. (2026) Millions of AI chat messages exposed in app data leak, Fox News, https://www.foxnews.com/tech/millions-ai-chat-messages-exposed-app-data-leak (accessed Mar 2026).

NIST (2023) Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework (AI RMF 1.0), U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology, https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/ai/nist.ai.100-1.pdf (accessed Mar 2026).

OpenAI (2026) ChatGPT, https://chatgpt.com/ (accessed Mar 2026).